

The Free Energy Conundrum

Is Humanity Ready for Abundance?

Wade Frazier

December 2005

A Summary of the Free Energy Conundrum

1. There are two prominent motivations for making free, forever renewable, non-polluting energy a daily reality for humanity:
 - On the upside - an unprecedented human journey based on abundance instead of scarcity can be catalyzed by a transition to free energy, while healing the environment at the same time;
 - On the downside - today's energy production methods are destroying the biosphere; energy scarcity is the primary reason for global poverty, and the Middle East is increasingly becoming the site of energy wars, which may ignite World War III.
2. Such free energy sources exist and have been extensively developed in the United States and elsewhere, however:
 - The technologies that use those energy sources have been subjected to deep secrecy (Black Budget, Above Top Secret, privatized);
 - Those attempting to independently develop technology to use those energy sources have been bought out or wiped out, although such efforts usually self-destruct before attaining the stage where they need derailing;
 - Those leading the suppression of the independent efforts also control the extensively developed free energy technologies, and their influence is wielded in subtle yet powerful fashion; they are forcing artificial scarcity onto humanity for reasons of earthly power (I call them the Big Boys in my work).
3. Far less than 1% of the U.S. population has constructively engaged that situation, the proportions falling somewhat along these lines:
 - Most people are unaware that the situation exists, largely due to the clandestine methods used to keep the situation unknown and a compliant media system, but also because most people *do not want to know*;
 - Those exposed to this situation usually deny it, often by dismissing it as a "conspiracy theory;"

- Those acknowledging the situation's reality usually have unproductive reactions, such as:
 - paranoia;
 - apathy;
 - hopelessness;
 - trying to expose or punish the suppressors;
 - beating the suppressors at their own game;
 - trying to get a piece of the suppression action for themselves;
 - thwarting those attempting to overcome the suppression, as they try stealing the technology/market for themselves;
 - Those acknowledging the situation and attempting a constructive response, such as mounting an effort to develop such technologies and bring them to the public, or educating the public about the situation, seem to number fewer than one thousand people; and of those, the number possessing the integrity sufficient to mount a potentially successful effort is probably fewer than one hundred people.
4. If an effort to overcome the suppression and public inertia is going to have a realistic chance of succeeding, that final group needs to grow to thousands of people, to form a critical mass. The effort needs to reach far beyond the small and insular alternative energy community. Simply becoming aware of the issue and engaging in constructive dialogue may be all that is needed. The primary qualification for a member of that critical mass group is nearly incorruptible integrity. The task is formidable, because people of that level of integrity are less than one-per-thousand in the U.S. general population, but that approach appears to have the best chance of success with the least risk to the participants.

The bold contentions in this summary are explored at depth in the following essay. Perhaps the above summary is incorrect, but nobody I trust with significant *experience* in that milieu denies its basic thrust.

My Journey and Primary Lesson

My journey into alternative energy began more than thirty years ago, when my first professional mentor invented what was considered the world's best engine for powering an automobile. Today's "cutting edge" cars are using innovations my mentor invented long ago, such as hybrid cars recycling the energy absorbed from applying a car's brakes. I dreamed long ago about changing the way humanity produces and uses its energy. I eventually chased my dream, only to have it become a nightmare. My journey was filled with numerous lessons, and many were reluctantly learned. I know of no effort in world history of higher profile or of more persistence or closer to success in bringing significant alternative energy to humanity than Dennis Lee's efforts, and I was with him during some of his adventures' darkest chapters. I think he did his most interesting work before I met him. There is no teacher like experience, and I am writing this essay with the hope that it will assist those few who try bringing alternative energy to humanity, and perhaps help heaven on earth come into being.

My primary lesson was one I resisted for many years, until I finally had it beaten into my head: personal integrity is earth's scarcest commodity, and applying a sufficient pool of it to the free energy conundrum is *the key* to making it happen. If an alternative energy effort does not begin with people of the highest integrity, it will not get very far. Almost without exception, when I have mentioned that harsh lesson and its ramifications, people have responded by ignoring it or presenting irrelevant counter-examples or dismissing it as of minor consequence. It is not an enjoyable realization, but it is today's reality. While denial may seem a valid defense mechanism, it is ultimately self-defeating, particularly in this context. True skepticism is a virtue (which means getting out of one's armchair and honestly investigating before launching opinions), but I have rarely experienced a genuinely skeptical reaction, which reinforces my primary lesson. I *expect* my work to be greeted with skepticism, because it is so alien to the average American's experience, so this essay is peppered with footnotes and links that lead to evidence that illustrate my points.

First of all, what follows is why a lack of integrity dooms alternative energy efforts. All economies for all time have been primarily dependent on energy. Today's energy industry is the world's most powerful, and a new energy source, particularly one that could easily replace conventional energy sources, has long been the subject of novels, motion pictures and other mass media. The economic value of such an energy source is beyond the dreams of avarice. Several trillion dollars of revenue are generated annually by the energy and related industries, such as transportation. Even that vast sum misleadingly minimizes the importance of energy, because without energy to run the show, industrialized civilization would quickly grind to a halt.

When people begin pursuing alternative energy, whether it is in pure or applied research, prototype development or the more advanced stages of entrepreneurialism, manufacturing and marketing, greed nearly always rears its head and defeats most attempts before they even get started. Dennis has likened the situation to the movie *The Treasure of the Sierra Madre*, where everybody wanted to wipe out everybody else so they would not have to share their plunder. The stakes are higher in the free energy pursuit than any other on earth, and bringing a genuine alternative energy to market, one that can impact the global marketplace, is not something that a lone inventor or entrepreneur can accomplish. It will take a major effort by many players to make alternative/free energy happen, and stealing a prototype or start-up company is futile, if developing a genuine alternative energy for the public is the goal. I have watched numerous attempts to steal Dennis' companies, and the two successful attempts I witnessed in the 1980s were performed when the Big Boys had Dennis in a headlock.[1] Before I met Dennis, his companies were stolen several times by his business associates and once by organized crime, which then pulled off a genuine scam of the public. I saw some particularly incompetent attempts to steal Dennis' companies that I witnessed *after* our days in Ventura. Steven Greer calls the Big Boys "Godzilla," but the economic jungle is also filled with T-Rexes, velociraptors and other predators. The jungle is not filled with gentle, herbivorous beasts and one super-predator.

After Dennis' company was stolen in Seattle, I watched several groups fight over the company's carcass, and none of them did anything productive with it. Also, if any of them became productive, they would have quickly discovered the hard way why Dennis' company was defunct when they stole it, but they were blinded by their greed. Also, the employees not involved in stealing the companies often stole anything they could on their way out, a phenomenon vividly evident in Ventura. For those people, the Big Boys' suppression efforts gave them theft opportunities. Greed is one of the seven deadly sins and is the fear of never having enough. It is an irrational fear that can never be extinguished by hoarding wealth. After obscene amounts of wealth are stolen from others, the fear then becomes focused on amassing as much control over others as possible, trying to play God.

When the Big Boys' hammer came down, those not actively stealing were mainly motivated by self-preservation, and those running and hiding or crawling before agents of the Big Boys, begging for mercy (or actively helping the Big Boys, as a way to avoid their wrath), may have provided a more disheartening spectacle than the thievery did. A third category of ignoble behavior was provided by many of those who did not fall into the first two categories: blaming and attacking Dennis became a favored activity, probably because he was an easy target, particularly when the Big Boys had him in a headlock. Many people fell into all three categories.

All those actions evidenced low personal integrity, and when the dust had settled in Ventura, human behavior could never surprise me again. There were heartening exceptions to that low-integrity rule, however, with Mr. Professor shining the brightest. People like him were needles in haystacks. I have sought people like him ever since, and have encountered very few who *may* have had the right stuff. Just as nearly everybody thinks they are an adequate housekeeper or a competent driver, nearly everybody thinks they possess high integrity. Passing an integrity test of the magnitude that Mr. Professor took often costs one their career/net worth/health/life, so those who have proven their integrity at those levels can rarely join together to make something important happen, because their lives have usually been destroyed.

Laying aside the Mind Crutches

When I staggered out of Ventura in 1990, I realized that whatever I had been taught about the world was probably not true, and for the next twelve years I read around two hundred thousand pages of material. A primary thrust was researching what I had been taught, comparing it to my adult researches and seeing if it held up. It rarely did. Although my ride with Dennis radicalized me, I also had some early experiences that aided my receptivity to the lessons, such as my family changing its diet when I was twelve and being introduced to paranormal phenomena at age sixteen.

As I performed my adult research on what I was taught while young, I realized that most of my “learning” that failed under scrutiny was not simply a haphazard assortment of misinformation. The misinformation was apparently designed to indoctrinate me into certain ways of “thinking.” Here are some examples of what I discovered during my adult researches.

- I read the newspaper everyday for twenty years, thinking I was getting the news. Now I realize that I was receiving a form of brainwashing.
- Christopher Columbus has a national holiday in his name, and is the first shining hero in American history books, but his greed-inspired efforts directly led to history’s only *complete genocide* of millions of people, a fact that my teachers failed to mention.
- I was taught that George Washington was the first American president, the “father of our country” and a man who could not lie. In reality, he was an avaricious, slave-owning aristocrat and America’s richest man when he became president, getting rich partly from stolen Native American land. He was also the primary architect of the American government’s policy of stealing Native American land through fraudulent treaties in what may be history’s greatest swindle.
- I attended Junípero Serra elementary school in grades four through six. Serra established the California mission system and the padre is up for sainthood today. What my teachers failed to tell me, as I watched a movie of his holy life and viewed his statue in our town square and visited his missions, was that he was a masochistic religious fanatic who ran colonial Mexico’s Inquisition and that the primary outcome of his missionizing efforts was the *complete extermination* of the coastal California tribes that greeted him. The only tribes that survived were tribal remnants that fled to California’s interior.
- The United States is simply a successor empire to the European empires that were weakened or destroyed during the World Wars of the 20th century. The words “America” and “empire” were never used in the same

sentence during my American history education, a rule followed by American history books and today's media organizations, at least until the recent invasion of Iraq, as the nakedly imperial aspect of our international behavior is increasingly difficult to deny. As with all empires, we tell ourselves that we are a different kind of empire, as in a benevolent one. Our victims do not believe it, nor does the rest of the world.

- America's fight against communism had nothing to do with protecting the world's people from the evil communists, but was an effort to keep the world's people enslaved to the political-economic system that the United States dominated, a system known today as global capitalism. That easily-verified situation somehow escaped the educational process I was subjected to while growing up.
- In my economics classes in college, I learned that Adam Smith was the father of capitalism and that he extolled the magic of free markets and the invisible hand of competition. However, Smith also remarked that capitalists were relentless in making sure there *were no free markets*, accomplished by buying out, wiping out or colluding with the competition, which is the most apt description of today's capitalists that I can think of.
- My chosen profession of public accounting turned out to have a fatal conflict of interest that became evident during the Savings and Loan Scandal of the 1980s and the Enron/WorldCom/etc. scandals of the early 21st century. I was never taught about that conflict in school, and the conflict exists to this day.
- I now realize that a great deal of the "science" I was taught did not come from carefully produced research but was propaganda that served certain economic and political interests. The real world of science is a vastly different one than the one I was taught about in school.
- The "War on Cancer" has been waged for many years. It turns out that cheap, harmless and effective cancer cures have existed for generations and the "War on Cancer" is a fraud. A great deal of the "warfare" has been directed toward wiping out those genuine cancer cures in the name of protecting the consumer, but is really done to protect the immensely lucrative cancer racket from the threat of genuine cures being used.
- Fluoride was in my toothpaste while I grew up, to promote healthy teeth. It was also added to the water I drank every day. I now know fluoride is an industrial waste, added to my water so fluoride polluters could dispose of their waste. Fluoride was originally identified as a pollutant that destroyed teeth and, in an incredible inversion of reality, scientists working for fluoride polluters magically transformed a tooth-crumbling pollutant into a

tooth's best friend. The fluorine ion also causes brain damage, among other harmful effects.

I was fortunate to be raised relatively free of religious indoctrination, but I received its secular equivalent in my "education." Was I taught anything that was true? I am not sure. My indoctrination gave me various "mind crutches." Crutches can help people walk until they no longer need them, but they can also produce cripples who cannot imagine living without them. The mind crutches I was given are seemingly of the latter variety. Why do our educational and media systems produce people with an inverted sense of reality? Apparently, so people can be manipulated via their mind crutches. How do mind crutches work to cripple people's minds? To a significant degree, they work because they appeal to people's self-interest and egos, particularly their vices.

If Americans believe they belong to the greatest, most benevolent society of all time, then they can rape and plunder the world's people (particularly the poor ones) with a clear conscience or only passing awareness, because our international acts are obviously designed to help the world's downtrodden, right? To question the *assumption* of American benevolence can lead to cognitive dissonance, and few Americans have proven themselves capable of resolving the dissonance by discarding the false beliefs they were indoctrinated with. The truth then becomes *unthinkable*, and that delusional state provides fertile ground for more delusions to take root, the deluded paying an immense price in lost sentence. The human ego explains a great deal of that dynamic, but there are also rewards for playing the game and penalties for failing to. For instance, I do not walk down the halls of my corporate employer and contradict capitalistic dogma. If I did, I would not be there long. The result is that Americans are largely a semi-sentient people who mindlessly repeat the catechisms they were indoctrinated with. A monkey can be trained to wave a flag on cue. Most people never break free and learn to think for themselves on those subjects, proving that pope's observation: "Give me a child until he is five, and he is mine for life." I recently encountered the observation that America's official bird should be changed from the bald eagle to the parrot, an observation with keen significance since September 11, 2001.

Here are the mind crutches that Americans need to discard (or stop leaning on so heavily) if they want to help a world of abundance appear:

- **Nationalism;**
- **Capitalism;**
- **Organized Religion**, particularly its literalist forms;
- **Scientism** - the worship of the methods of science as the only valid path to knowledge, also related to worshipping the scientific establishment;
- **Materialism** - the worship of the material world as the only reality, also related to hoarding material wealth;

- **Rationalism** - the worship of the rational aspect of intelligence as the only important attribute of intelligence, also related to the denigration of intuition.

The above doctrines are all based on the scarcity principle, as they exalt one group at the expense of others. The first three do it quite obviously, the other three more subtly.

People cannot help an abundance principle take root when their minds are mired in scarcity consciousness.

The first three doctrines have been prominently used to justify violating others and have fostered egocentric delusions that provided the justifications, such as the Chosen People, wealth elitism, the Promised Land, Manifest Destiny or its successor *Lebensraumpolitik*. Those first three mind crutches form the triune faith of most Americans. Scarcely an American can be found who does not regularly kneel at one of those altars. In nationalism, we are elevated above other nations by virtue of our being born here. My American Empire essay was partly intended as an antidote to American nationalism. The essays in this section of my website are intended as an antidote to capitalism, which might be the most *inefficient* economic system yet devised, as it is quickly destroying the planet and provides the rationale for doing so. *Every* organized religion is to some extent a corruption of the vision in whose name the religion was erected. In Christianity in particular, kneeling at the right altar is the ticket to heaven, and those kneeling at the wrong altars (or those not kneeling at all) are condemned by the Almighty. People having near-death experiences or those who have learned to explore other planes of existence do not describe anything like a gatekeeper to "heaven," and those in "hell" are there because they *want to be*, and leave when they are no longer *attracted to it*. The scarcity principle is ingrained into our societies in ways that can seem invisible, such as our sports and games, where there is almost always one ultimate winner and many losers.

Those last three doctrines are interrelated and subtler than the first three. Those holding to Enlightenment ideals (I will call them Enlightenment doctrines in this essay) often subscribe to those three. People can easily pursue experiences that show how false and limiting those doctrines are. All three doctrines have the denigration of consciousness in common. Consciousness, in those doctrines, is seen as dependent on biochemistry, and the rational aspect of consciousness is the only one considered important, and phenomena such as intuition, emotion or love are minimized. History's greatest physicists did not subscribe to those doctrines, and anybody can easily be trained to experience paranormal phenomena, clearly demonstrating that chemistry-based consciousness models are woefully deficient. Those subscribing to Enlightenment doctrines often ignore or dismiss evidence that we are not alone in the universe and are regularly visited by extra-terrestrial civilizations. For instance, over the past generation more than three thousand people have witnessed UFOs appearing on request at

a Washington ranch. I went to see for myself this year, and I was not disappointed.[2] Those subscribing to Enlightenment doctrines also tend to dismiss the notion that the world's political-economic system is managed by surreptitious means, usually dismissing the notion as a "conspiracy theory," and almost always deny that the Above Top Secret world even exists, preferring to focus on the bureaucratic aspect of covert action. I discovered that many who are great at describing our immense problems *do not want to hear* about solutions that topple their scarcity-based paradigm.

As R. Buckminster Fuller observed, economics has always been the name of the elite game, with politics a mere byproduct; all political actors are "stooges" of the economic interests. Today's most radical political and economic ideologies are still based upon the scarcity principle, and all political systems have been primarily concerned with who gets the scarce resources. Pursuing free energy (or significant alternative energy) is the most radical economic act on earth today, and only when an effort becomes "threatening" do activists encounter the Big Boy gatekeepers. The billion-dollar bribe we were offered to stop pursuing free energy is not a "conspiracy theory" but the reporting of our *experiences*. Also, those pursuing that path at a level where they threatened to make an *economic impact* all report similar experiences. There is no theory about it, but that situation has never been constructively engaged by any political faction that I have encountered, and I have encountered just about all of them.

Pitfalls and Unproductive Paths

Experience may be the *only* teacher, and the pitfalls and unproductive paths presented here are those I have experienced myself or heard of from first-hand participants. Just as teenagers often think their parents do not know anything, many in the free energy community whom I have tried cautioning with tales of pitfalls have denied their relevance as they blithely headed for those very same pitfalls. It has not been easy to watch. For a teenage boy, learning some of life's lessons the hard way is part of growing up. When pursuing free energy, learning those lessons the hard way often means having one's life destroyed, if not prematurely ended. Only those with the crazed persistence of a Dennis Lee get to make the attempt more than once. I learned many of my lessons the hard way, and seek to help others avoid learning them the hard way.

Here are some of the more important pitfalls and unproductive activities that I have seen.

Denial - This pitfall kills most free energy efforts before they get very far. The denial relates to many facets of the free energy conundrum (such as that the Big Boys even exist or are vigilant). Because the free energy arena is like no other, denial of how it operates is common and can be fatal for its participants. For instance, except for my years with Dennis, I have spent my entire career in corporate America. The free energy scene only vaguely resembles how corporate America operates. Thinking it does is one of the many pitfalls that free energy activists encounter. Believing in the fairy tales of capitalism and nationalism is particularly dangerous for somebody pursuing free energy. The most harmful denial often relates to denying that the pitfalls listed below even exist, or can be leapt over or run past too quickly for them to matter.

Delusions of grandeur - I have yet to meet a human without an ego. When pondering the implications of free energy, the situation's immensity can seduce the ego. Delusions of grandeur can take root, and one must always be vigilant of them. I had fleeting delusions of grandeur during my days with Dennis, and I have seen the bug bite others fiercely.[3]

Seeking "humanitarian assistance" and rich benefactors - All free energy efforts have always operated on a shoestring, and all have tried raising money somehow. Each fundraising method has its pitfalls, and the most futile one I have seen is seeking a rich benefactor. If a rich person genuinely tries helping out, they quickly get a horse's head in their bed, get their money seized, and so on. More often, the "benefactor" tries controlling the effort from the outset or stealing the technology/company or waits like a vulture for the opportunity to take over when they smell easy profits. Dennis has interacted with numerous billionaires and has never seen one part with a dollar relating to helping free energy happen. They all seem to heed Bill Gates' dictum, "You don't get rich by cutting checks." "Humanitarian" groups often hamper efforts like developing free

energy, in a bizarre situation where the biggest obstacles to realizing the solutions are the people who say they seek solutions.

Needing a paycheck - This is related to the above pitfall, and is the primary reason I have pursued my Website work as I have. If people in a free energy venture must immediately be paid for their efforts, they are already defeated. Economic need kills most free energy efforts, and when I saw all the employee theft in Ventura, it was because the company owed them *one week* of wages. I have seen that need come in many guises, and if a significant free energy effort ever becomes viable, it will be due to people who are giving, not getting. That is *why* there is a free energy conundrum - there have not been enough people involved who are more interested in giving than getting. If the people involved are starving or need regular, corporate-sized paychecks to be involved, the effort is doomed before it begins.

Greed - Dealt with at length above.

Naïveté - I fell into that pitfall regularly during my journey with Dennis. It took some time to wake up to the free energy milieu's reality. Most people will have to negotiate that pitfall to get very far along the path. The most common reaction I have heard to the free energy conundrum is also the most naïve, which goes like this, "If this was possible, I would be able to buy it." That statement reflects a belief in the magic of capitalism, where the best, brightest and most benevolent always triumph. Not even Adam Smith believed it. It also reflects a belief in the fairy tale of American nationalism. Disbelief is the most common reaction to the billion-dollar bribe that we received, and engineers and technical professionals often prove to be the most naïve of all, as Bucky Fuller observed. Mailing off working free energy prototypes to the Big Boys, hoping for a tickertape parade, gets the wrong kind of attention. Naïveté can be a fatal pitfall in the free energy pursuit.

Seeking specialist opinions - One on hand, seeking specialist opinions may seem prudent, but on the other hand, most scientists, engineers and technical professionals undergo heavy indoctrination disguised as learning and can rarely think past their textbooks, which is related to that pope's observation. For instance, there have been credible experimental results that call into question the Second Law of Thermodynamics. That "laws" of physics even exist shows how much like a religion physics is. There are no "laws" of physics, only theories. The first person to perform those law-defying experiments died in a U.S. prison, with the U.S. government destroying his equipment. A scientist who related to me his own experimental results was murdered not long ago. While what Thomas Kuhn called "normal" science is an honorable and necessary part of scientific endeavor, those performing normal science are firmly wrapped in their paradigm and rarely glimpse beyond it or comprehend evidence that challenges their paradigm. Less than 1% of scientists and engineers have the creative insight and discrimination needed to successfully evaluate novel experimental

data or technology, and the best of them are always cautious about saying that something is “impossible,” which my first professional mentor taught me. Thinking that a physicist or engineer is qualified by their orthodox training/experience to evaluate free energy technology or theory is the height of folly. Some of the most irrational responses to my work have been by engineers, scientists and academics, and some of their most illogical responses have been regarding areas where they claim *expertise*.^[4]

Failure to separate the wheat from the chaff - Because few with scientific or technical training can properly evaluate novel theory, data or technology, it does not mean that nobody can. Finding those people is difficult, however, and there are many garage mechanics and electronics tinkerers who think they invented a free energy machine. As Adam Trombly once noted, there are many in the new energy field who have never done anything productive and often say that nobody has made them an offer they cannot refuse. Those producing pedestrian results are not made those offers; the Big Boys are not stupid. Navigating between the bogus and the genuine is a perilous endeavor for free energy activists. There is far more chaff than wheat out there, and some is even fraudulent chaff, although not nearly as much as Mr. Skeptic would have people believe.

Thinking that low integrity people can be “managed” - When the BPA Hit Man approached Dennis’ company in 1985, Dennis suspected that he was not who he presented himself as. Dennis thought he could “manage” him, and actively misdirected him. When the hit man’s actions cost one of Dennis’ employees her life, Dennis became radicalized in his pursuit of alternative energy. I became a pile of frayed nerves a few months after the raid on our facilities in 1988, and a business associate asked Dennis if he could become his new protégé. Dennis knew that the man did not have the required integrity for the job but took him on anyway, while cautioning him that he would probably betray Dennis one day. Dennis says that only nice guys have betrayed him, as the cutthroats never get close enough to stick their daggers in his ribs. Instead, his “friends” always shove the daggers into his back. That opportunity for betrayal came a few months later, and that protégé gleefully helped destroy Dennis’ company while he was in jail. A major problem with people of less-than-high integrity in a free energy effort is that any success attracts the predators. The predators are not only agents of the Big Boys, but also the standard predators that populate America’s entrepreneurial waters.^[5] The magnified temptations and dangers of the free energy environment easily corrupt people of less-than-high integrity. Managing people of low integrity in a free energy effort is like holding a faulty bomb with the fuse lit - you cannot throw it far enough away, and it might still blow up in your hand. The latest instances I encountered of low integrity people and people’s refusal to recognize the danger they presented helped drive me back into seclusion.

Overlooking low integrity because the person has something to offer - This is related to the preceding point. I have yet to meet an altruistic inventor.

Discovering that inventors have no more integrity than the general population was the second most important lesson of my journey. *Creativity does not confer integrity*, something I learned the hard way. It took me many years of disillusionment to finally accept the reality. My first professional mentor is the closest thing to an altruistic inventor that I have met, and my early experience misled me about the real world of inventors. Whether it is an inventor with an intriguing energy prototype or somebody who offers funding or a business associate who offers an alliance, at the first inkling that their integrity is not the highest, severing the relationship immediately and honorably is the best remedy, because when times get trying, those people will betray the effort for personal gain or self-preservation. Many times I have watched people overlook low integrity because the person had something else that might contribute to the effort. It has always backfired when the going got tough, and it *always* gets tough when pursuing free energy.

Thinking that one can run below the suppressors' radar - A number of free energy inventors and entrepreneurs have tried to invent in secret, distribute in secret, finance in secret and so on. The Big Boys have an impressive global surveillance infrastructure. I have yet to see that strategy work. In fact, secrecy plays right into the Big Boys' hands, partly because they are masters of clandestine operations (they prefer operating in dark alleys), and secrecy often leads to paranoia and other delusions, helping the projects self-destruct before the Big Boys need to lift a finger. Maybe somebody will run below the radar one day, but so far, it looks like the high road offers much more promise and aligns with the ideals of openness and honesty.

Trying to expose and/or punish the suppressors - The Big Boys are masters of their game, and trying to beat them at it is a doomed strategy. Secrecy, deception, violence, arrogance, avarice - these are their hallmarks. While there have been courageous efforts by whistleblowers and investigators to expose "bad guys" and/or punish them, the danger is great, and ultimately, they cannot be beaten at their game. Exposing, punishing, beating them at their own game - these are all scarcity-consciousness efforts and are rooted in the victim mentality. Bringing free energy to the world will take an abundance-oriented effort and must transcend the victim mentality to become successful. Of course, that is easier said than done, but changing the prevailing paradigm for the past ten thousand years is not an easy task. If we want love and forgiveness to prevail on earth, we need to live it.

Forming a mass movement around a small and/or weak nucleus - I have burned too much of my life's energy on building alternative/free energy efforts, to only watch them quickly disintegrate when faced with challenges. If a large nucleus of high integrity people cannot be amassed, then humanity is not ready for free energy and all that can come with it. It would probably be better to make quilts instead of launch onto the path of disaster and martyrdom that efforts of insufficient collective integrity invariably chart.

Forming a movement around ideologies - Trying to form a movement around an ideology, whether it is economic, religious, political, scientific or social is a tacit admission that the movement's members cannot be trusted to think for themselves, and herding them along under an ideology's banner will not work, not for bringing free energy to the world. All of today's earthly ideologies are based on scarcity and most are subtly egocentric, and will not help an abundance paradigm take root.[6]

Telling your family and friends about free energy and the toppling of scarcity-based ideologies - Many readers of my work thought they would introduce their "hip" family members or friends to my work, only to be shocked as they watched their friends and family members go into irrational tirades against my work. The vast majority of my "peers" - white, educated American men - can only read a few pages of my work before blowing a fuse. Very few people can currently examine the mass *assumptions* about reality, as the exercise threatens the "stories" we tell ourselves. People addicted to their mind crutches do not want to confront naked reality, and cannot currently pursue free energy. Again, less than one-in-a-thousand are fit for it. If that is true, then what is the point of this essay?

What Can Be Done about the Conundrum?

If all those paths of action listed above are fraught with peril or even counterproductive, then what? A family friend was fond of saying, "Let's do something, even if it is wrong." The free energy situation *is* a conundrum. The scarcity principle is being artificially enforced on humanity, and the vast majority of humanity will resist the removal of the chains from their brains. I learned important lessons along the way, and some relate directly to the free energy conundrum:

- **Love is *always* the answer**
- **The means *become* the ends**
- **Violating *anybody's* free will is ultimately self-defeating**
- **If you can, do it with gentle humor**

As Seth once said, actions inconsistent with the ideal will always fail to achieve that ideal. Bringing an abundance paradigm to humanity is as idealistic a project as was ever conceived. However, humanity is apparently not ready for it. Not yet. If we were, we would have it, because there are enough people trying to bring free energy and abundance to the world to make it happen, if humanity was ready for it. But the Big Boys' shenanigans and the masses' inertia and easy manipulability are formidable obstacles, the inertia/manipulability being the biggest factors by far.

When the dust settled in Ventura, I realized that if fifty people had banded around Dennis and his technical experts the way that Mr. Professor and I did, we had a realistic chance of making free energy a daily reality for humanity. If it were a hundred, it would have been easy (what I call the hundred heroes strategy). But there may not be a hundred people to find at that level of commitment. Also, the price it extracts from those few people can be awesome.

We humans are largely locked into our false personalities, which is the herd mentality.[7] Being a herd member can seem comforting, until the herd stampedes off the cliff. The question I have pondered for many years is this:

Does humanity have to attain enlightenment before it can have free energy and abundance, or can its daily reality help catalyze it?

Can a group of people begin the movement toward abundance by simply becoming aware that it is *feasible*? Such a strategy asks nothing of anybody but that they *think* about it, and perhaps engage in dialogue (and maybe even do some research). If the hundred heroes model is ever tried, those

heroes/heroines will need thousands of the awake to provide support. In addition, the Scarcity Enforcement Team will find that strategy more challenging to derail. In some ways, that might seem as futile as the other strategies, but almost nobody can currently even *think* about these issues in an enlightened manner. Until a sizeable group begins *thinking* about the issue, the rest seems futile. Can such a group carry the ball for humanity until they are ready to carry it themselves? This essay seeks to initiate dialogue on that issue, and find more of those needles in haystacks.

Other strategies may succeed, and while some pitfalls are more fatal than others, an effort of direct action by the masses may limp to the finish line while *only* stumbling into the lesser pitfalls, but no effort so far has really had a chance. Are we ready to begin pursuing abundance?

Footnotes

[1] The two successful attempts were the theft of the company, engineered by my boss, in Seattle in 1986, and theft of the company when Dennis was in jail in 1988, engineered by a business associate.

[2] Why only three thousand? Why not three million? The answer says a lot about where America is these days.

[3] For instance, when I was briefly with Dennis in 1996-1997, one of his volunteers previously volunteered for another prominent free energy inventor. One day, the volunteer took a nature walk with that inventor and that inventor confided that he thought he was the Second Coming of Christ. That admission spooked the volunteer, who came to Dennis' organization soon thereafter.

[4] Recent examples have been various forums where my work has been discussed, particularly in the energy realm. I present examples of intriguing technologies in my work, such as here and here, and I have watched engineers and scientists, who *claim expertise in the energy field*, dismiss them as of no consequence, although one was called the world's most effective engine design by a major federal study, and the other is the best heating system the world market has yet seen. Such reactions by scientists and engineers used to amaze me, but now I accept them as normal. Some of my colleagues have had access to academics that run world-renowned science institutions and to others in the scientific establishment's inner sanctum. My colleagues' reports have not been encouraging, as they encounter dogma, arrogance, complacency and a host of vices avidly cultivated by the scientific establishment's authorities.

[5] This quote has been attributed to Howard Scott and others: "A criminal is a person with predatory instincts who has not sufficient capital to form a corporation." Dennis has encountered thousands of them over the years in America's entrepreneurial waters.

[6] Even the scientific worldviews are often subtly egocentric, such as the current Creation Story as portrayed by the Big Bang and Evolution. Humans are the crowning flower of evolution in that scientific worldview, and religious ideology, such as the Judeo-Christian religions, present humans as the apple of God's eye. Whether coming from scientists or religionists, the basic message is the same - humans are the best the universe has to offer, and all inferior beings can be treated accordingly.

[7] The Michael Material deals at length with the false personality and human ensoulment.

The next section: Peak Oil, New Energy and the End of Scarcity – Making New Energy *Thinkable* (74K)

Return to My Home Page (The address on the Internet of my home page is <http://www.ahealedplanet.net/home.htm>)